

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**

**Petition No. 48 of 2020**  
**Date of hearing: 20.07.2021**  
**Date of Order: 27.07.2021**

Petition under Section 43 of E.A 2003 read with Supply Code (2014) Reg 5.1 in the matter of refusal by the Licensee to release electricity connections to the residents of Gulmohar Residency Section 116 Mohali.

AND

In the matter of: Residents of Gulmohar Residency Sector 116 Mohali through their authorised representative Sh. Harbans Dhiman S/o Sh. Bansari Dass and Dr. Charan Singh Rayat.

...Petitioners

V/s

1. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. the Mall, Patiala.
2. M/s Shivalik Site Planners Pvt. Ltd. House No. 57 Phase-2 Mohali.
3. Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, Sector 62 S.A.S Nagar Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

Present: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson  
Ms. Anjali Chandra, Member  
Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

Gulmohar Residency: Sh. Aditya Grover, Advocate  
Sh. C.S. Rayat

For PSPCL: Sh. Gurchain Singh Banga, CE/ARR&TR  
Sh. Deepak Gupta, SE/TR-2  
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, ASE/TR-5  
Sh. Sukjhot Singh Sidhu  
Sh. Satwinder Singh Sehmbi, SE/Ropar  
Sh. Amandeep Singh, ASE, Kharar

M/s Shivalik: None

GMADA: Sh. Gurdev Singh Atwal

PUDA Sh. Himmat Singh, Planning Officer

CTP: Ms. Mandeep Kaur  
Ms. Ritika Arora  
Sh. Yatharth Hans, Law Officer

Local Govt: Sh. Kuldeep Raj Verma, Superintending Engineer  
Punjab Sh. Sangeet Kumar, Executive Officer

**ORDER**

The petition was taken up for hearing. After hearing the parties, the Commission observes that Department of Housing and Urban Development , Punjab issued a notification dated 06.05.2008 which provides that the concerned departments including PSPCL shall ensure that no development work is started by the promoter before getting No Objection/Clearance Certificate, if required from the concerned Departments/Agency. The developer never approached PSPCL to obtain NOC. However PSPCL not only released temporary connections to the Developer to carry out construction/development works but also to some individual residents despite clear instructions of GOP and the conditions of licence issued by GMADA. It is evident that PSPCL and the licensing authority never bothered to enforce the above mentioned provisions of GOP notification read with Conditions of the licence, resulting in not only financial loss to the licensee but also avoidable inconvenience to the residents.

PSPCL shall submit the action taken report against the erring staff/officials commensurate with the lapse for violating the relevant Rules, Regulations and the applicable provisions in releasing the electricity connections to the builders developers of the Gulmohar Residency Sector 116 Mohali, within two weeks. PUDA/GMADA shall submit the details of action taken against the Developers for violation of the terms and conditions of the license granted to the developer in the present case, within two weeks.

Regarding the Bank Guarantees/securities, the representative of GMADA i.e. DTP, GMADA submitted that the BG amounting to Rs.154 lac was available against internal development works whereas separate BG for electrical works is to be taken by PSPCL. The Commission directed PSPCL to provide the expenditure incurred/likely to be incurred for providing connections in the colony and submit the same within two weeks with copies to GMADA as well as the Developer.

The petition shall be taken up for hearing on 25.08.2021 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-  
(Paramjeet Singh)  
Member

Sd/-  
(Anjuli Chandra)  
Member

Sd/-  
(Viswajeet Khanna)  
Chairperson

Chandigarh  
Dated: **27.07.2021**