

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
SCO NO. 220-221, SECTOR 34-A, CHANDIGARH**

Petition No. 11 of 2017  
Date of hearing: 16.05.2017  
Date of Order: 18.05.2017

Present: Shri D.S. Bains, Chairman  
Shri S.S. Sarna, Member

In the matter of: Petition under regulation 6.7.1 of the Punjab State Regulatory Commission (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2014 (hereinafter to be called as Supply Code-2014) read with regulation 10 of PSERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations-2005 and other relevant regulations framed by this Hon'ble Commission and sections of Electricity Act, 2003 seeking appropriate directions/ action against the respondent and its officials to revise the NOC issued to M/s Vardhman Polytex Ltd., for release of load from 11 KV Chandigarh Road feeder for development of 19 Acre, residential colony at Chandigarh road, Ludhiana, under existing technical/ loading conditions at site, as this feeder can take load of proposed residential colony of the petitioner.

AND

In the matter of: M/s Vardhman Polytex Ltd., 341, K-1, MUNDIAN KHURAD, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.  
Versus  
Chief Engineer/Commercial, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, The Mall, Patiala.

For petitioner: Shri Sukhminder Singh, PR Representative

**ORDER**

The matter came up for admission. The petitioner submitted that he is developing a residential Colony for which 'No Objection Certificate (NOC)' was issued by Chief Engineer/Commercial, PSPCL, Patiala vide letter dated 07.01.2015 under regulation 6.7.1 of Supply Code, 2014. The supply to the Colony was proposed through a 11 kV new feeder for which the petitioner was asked to deposit ₹40,91,085/-. However, due to slump in real estate market and huge expenditure involved, the compliance of NOC was not made. It was further stated that during this period, a new 11 kV line has been erected by PSPCL under R-APDRP scheme and due to the change in the loading conditions, the

load of the Colony can now be released from the existing feeder at less cost. Accordingly, the petitioner made a request to PSPCL to revise the NOC but the request was rejected by the Chief Engineer/Commercial vide Memo No.5289 dated 24.11.2016. It was also stated by the petitioner that NOC issued on 07.01.2015 was valid for a period of two years from the date of issue and hence the validity of said NOC has elapsed.

The Commission noted that as per para 19 of the NOC issued by CE/Commercial vide letter dated 07.01.2015, the NOC was valid for two years from the date of issue i.e. upto 06.01.2017 and hence the prayer of the petitioner to direct the respondent to review / revise the NOC is not tenable. The petitioner may approach the respondent afresh in accordance with the rules and regulations applicable in this regard.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-  
**(S.S. Sarna)**  
**Member**

Sd/-  
**(D.S. Bains)**  
**Chairman**

Chandigarh  
Dated: 18.05.2017