

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
SCO NO.220-221, SECTOR 34-A, CHANDIGARH**

Petition No. 28 of 2013

Date of hearing: 21.05.2013

Date of Order: 22.05.2013

In the matter of : Petition under Section 86 (1) K of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 49 and 50 of the Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2007, with a prayer that this Commission may be pleased to set aside the demand dated 07.09.2012, Annexure P/8 issued on the basis of calculations which are completely contrary to Circular No.68 of 2008 (Annexure P/4), since despite the fact the petitioner admittedly falls under the category of "Extra High Tension" and the applicable rates are under Serial No.9 still demand has been calculated by applying rates application to large supply customers as mentioned under Serial No.5 which is totally illegal and unsustainable in view of Judgement / Order dated 11.06.2012 titled as M/s Trident Limited V/s PSPCL bearing Petition No.5 of 2012 (Annexure P/6)

AND

In the matter of R.P.Multimetals Private Limited, a company registered under the Companies Act, 1956 having its Registered Office at G.T.Road, Mandi Gobindgarh and Works at Amloh Road, Village Salani, Mandi Gobindgarh through its Director.

Versus

1. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Head Office, The Mall, Patiala through its Chairman
2. Assistant Executive Engineer(DS) Sub Division Amloh, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Amloh.

Present: Smt.Romila Dubey, Chairperson
 Shri Virinder Singh, Member
 Shri Gurinder Jit Singh, Member

For Petitioner: Shri Aalok Jagga, Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner has submitted in para 20 of the petition that the petitioner has also approached Zonal Dispute Settlement Committee Ludhiana of the licensee i.e. PSPCL, the respondent herein. The Commission

observes that since the petitioner has already chosen the remedy before the Zonal Level Selection Committee of PSPCL set up under Complaint Handling Procedure, the petitioner can not choose parallel remedy through this petition. The petition is, therefore, not admitted. The petitioner however submitted during hearing that issue is not being decided by Zonal Dispute Settlement Committee expeditiously and prayed that direction may be issued to PSPCL to get the matter decided by Zonal Dispute Settlement Committee expeditiously. Without making any observations on the merits of the case of the petitioner, the Commission directs PSPCL to get the matter decided by Zonal Dispute Settlement Committee within a period of two months.

Sd/-
(Gurinder Jit Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Virinder Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Romila Dubey)
Chairperson

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.05.2013