

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
SCO NO. 220-221, SECTOR 34-A, CHANDIGARH**

**Petition No. 58 of 2017
Date of hearing: 16.05.2018
Date of Order: 17.05.2018**

In the matter of: Petition under section 142 and other relevant provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with regulation 9 and 10 of PSERC (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2007 and clause 44 of the Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2014 and other relevant provisions of the regulations framed by this Commission, praying for taking necessary action against the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003, for raising impugned demand of Rs.11,26,971/-, vide letter dated 31.05.2017 from the petitioner, on account of Less Service Connection Charges charged at the time of extension of load on 27.8.2008, and further for raising the said impugned demand by way of supplementary bill dated 13.09.2017 and later on adding the said impugned demand in the monthly bill dated 6.10.2017 issued for the month of September, 2017, being in violation of provisions of regulation 9 and 10 of PSERC (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters), Regulations, 2007, provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Limitation Act, 1908; and further for setting aside the above said impugned demand, supplementary bill dated 13.9.2017 and monthly bill dated 6.10.2017 wherein the above said impugned demand of Rs.11,26,971/- has been added in the monthly energy bill as arrears.

Further for setting aside the Letter Memo.No.1169 dated 9.8.2017 issued by the respondent No.3, whereby the representation made by the petitioner against the impugned demand of Rs.11,26,971/- raised vide letter dated 31.5.2017, has been dismissed by passing a totally non speaking order and further the impugned demand has been upheld, having been issued in violation of principle of natural justice and equity and provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Supply Code-2007.

AND

In the matter of: M/s A.V.N. Manufacturing Co. (a partnership firm)
through its partner, Vaneet Kapoor s/o Sh. Arun Kapoor,
V.P.O. Randhawa Mansandha, Jalandhar-144 004.

.. Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., through its
Chairman, The Mall, Patiala.
2. The Chief Engineer, Commercial, Punjab State
Power Corporation Ltd., The Mall, Patiala.
3. Assistant Executive Engineer, Commercial Sub
Division, Unit No.-1, Under East Division, Punjab
State Power Corporation Ltd., Jalandhar.

.. Respondents

Present: Ms. Kusumjit Sidhu, Chairperson
Sh. S.S. Sarna, Member
Ms. Anjuli Chandra, Member

For the Petitioner: Sh. Tajender Joshi, Advocate

For PSPCL: Sh. Krishan Lal Dua, Advocate
Sh. Iqbal Singh, CE/ARR&TR
Sh. Harjinder Singh Bansal, ASE/East Divn., Jalandhar
Sh. V.P.S. Kailay, ASE/TR-5

ORDER

Both the parties have been heard. PSPCL submitted that while furnishing the actual cost incurred, they had not included establishment / supervision charges in the affidavit furnished earlier. PSPCL is directed to submit on a fresh affidavit the actual cost including establishment / supervision charges incurred to release the extension in load of the petitioner.

Order is reserved.

Sd/-

(Anjuli Chandra)
Member

Sd/-

(S.S. Sarna)
Member

Sd/-

(Kusumjit Sidhu)
Chairperson

Chandigarh
Dated: 17.05.2018